

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,957	10/628,957 07/28/2003		Marc R. Montminy	088802-2758	6172	
30542	7590	05/18/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY & 1 P.O. BOX 80		ER	LUCAS, ZACHARIAH			
SAN DIEGO		138-0278	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·				1648		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/628,957	MONTMINY, MARC R.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Zachariah Lucas	1648			

	Zachariah Lucas	1648						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	lress					
1)	THE REPLY FILED 5 HOS FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ——							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s). 7 Secretary posses of appeal the proposed amendment(s): a)	M will not be entered or b) M	ill he entered and an	explanation of					
	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
			•					

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim amendments as proposed add the further limitations to the claims: Claim 1 would now read on a nucleic acid "consisting essentially of a sequence encoding residues 634-648 of SEQ ID NO: 2. Claims 2 and 3 would now read on nucleic acids encoding fragments of CBP"substantially the same as" a sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2. These limitations have not been previously considered, and would therefore require additional search and examination.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant has provided additional argument with respect to the rejections under 112 first paragraph for lack of written description and for lack of enablment. With respect to the Written Description rejection, the Applicant asserts that they have provided both a structure and a function of the claimed genus of nucleic acids, and have therefore provided adequate written description. However, as was indicated in the prior actions, while the Applicant has provided a structure and a function, there is no demonstration that the structure correlates to the presence of the function. The argument is therefore not found persuasive.

With respect to the Enablment rejection, the Applicant argues that the claims are not directed to any nucleic acid encoding for any sequence of CBP which binds to CREB, but that the claims require the presence of "defined regions of SEQ ID NO: NO:2 and bind to CREB." As was indicated on page 8 of the prior action, this was considered. However, the application has not provided adequate information to demonstrate that the identified regions are themselves (i.e. without addition CBP sequences or structures) capable of binding CREB. The Applicant's assertion that sufficient guidance has been presented to enable the claims is not found persuasive for these reasons, and the reasons of record.

The remaining arguments presented are based on the amendment of the claims as indicated in the After Final amendment. Because the amendment has not been entered, these arguments are not found persuasive. Further, it is not clear how the proposed amendments of claims 4 or 6 avoids the prior art rejection of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is 571-272-0905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Z Łucas

Patent Examiner

JAMES HOUSEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600